

**PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLAN COMMISSION MEETING
VILLAGE HALL AUDITORIUM
9915 39TH AVENUE
PLEASANT PRAIRIE, WISCONSIN
6:00 P.M.
February 8, 2010**

A regular meeting for the Pleasant Prairie Plan Commission convened at 6:00 p.m. on February 8, 2010. Those in attendance were Thomas Terwall; Michael Serpe; Donald Hackbarth; Wayne Koessler; Andrea Rode (Alternate #2); John Braig; Jim Bandura; and Judy Juliana (Alternate #1, voting member). Larry Zarletti was excused. Also in attendance were Mike Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; and Peggy Herrick, Assistant Village Planner and Zoning Administrator.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER.**
- 2. ROLL CALL.**
- 3. CORRESPONDENCE.**

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman, we have one piece of correspondence. It's the actual Compass Points. It's the Kenosha County Comprehensive Planning Newsletter. This is the newsletter that identifies that the County Plan has come to an end and they're ready to go through formal presentations at all the communities, and each individual community is doing their own. As you can see at the bottom that Pleasant Prairie already did all this, and we adopted our plan in December of 2009. But this provides kind of a good overview of the County Plan. So it's just to receive and file this evening.

Tom Terwall:

Thank you.

- 4. CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 14, 2009 PLAN COMMISSION MEETING.**

Tom Terwall:

Your've received written copies. What's your pleasure?

Judy Juliana:

Move to approve.

John Braig:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

MOVED BY JUDY JULIANA AND SECONDED BY JOHN BRAIG TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 14, 2009 MEETING AS PRESENTED IN WRITTEN FORM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS.

Tom Terwall:

If you're here tonight to discuss Items either A or B since those are both public hearings, we would ask that you hold your comments until the public hearing is held and your comments will be incorporated into the official minutes. However, if you're here for Items C through F, or if you're here to raise an issue that's not on the agenda, now would be your opportunity to do so. We'd ask you to step to the microphone and begin by giving us your name and address. Anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments?

6. NEW BUSINESS

A. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS INCLUDING SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLANS for the request of Michael Spence, Village Engineer, agent for the Village of Pleasant Prairie and the Pleasant Prairie Sanitary District 73-1 owners of the properties located at 7101 116th Street and 8000 128th Street, respectively for the construction of a 653 square foot lift station building at each site to service the adjacent land as a result of abandoning the 73-1 sewerage treatment plant at 8000 128th Street.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, this item is the consideration of a conditional use permit including site and operational plans, and this is at the request of Michael Spence, our Village Engineer, agent for the Village of Pleasant Prairie and Pleasant Prairie Sanitary District 73-1, owners of the properties located at 7101 116th Street and 8000 128th Street, respectively, for the construction of a 653 square foot lift station building at each site to service the adjacent land as well as the result of abandoning the 73-1 sewage treatment plant at 8000 128th Street.

Under public hearing comments, as part of the public hearing record the Village staff has compiled a listing of findings, exhibits and conclusions regarding the petitioner's request and they're presented and described below in your staff comments.

Findings of Fact:

1. Michael Spence, Village Engineer, agent for the Village of Pleasant Prairie and the Pleasant Prairie Sanitary District 73-1 owners are requesting approval of a conditional use permit, including site and operational plans for the construction of a 653 square foot lift station building at 7101 116th Street to be known as the South Central Lift Station and a 653 square foot lift station building to be located at 8000 128th Street to be known as the 73-1 Lift Station. This would be to service adjacent lands as a result of abandoning the 73-1 treatment plant at 8000 128th Street. The application as well as all the related attachments and plans are provided as Exhibit 1.
2. A subcontinental divide runs through the middle of the Village, effectively splitting it into two watersheds. The former Sewer Utility District D discharges its treated water into the Des Plaines River, which ultimately flows to the Gulf of Mexico via the Mississippi River. The former Lake Michigan Sanitary Sewer Utility District discharges its wastewater to the Kenosha Water Utility's treatment plant near Lake Michigan, where the treated water is then discharged into Lake Michigan. In November of 2009, the Village Board established one sewer utility district for all current and future sanitary sewer customers within the corporate limits of Pleasant Prairie known as the Pleasant Prairie Sewer Utility District.

During the mid-1980s, radium was discovered in the municipal wells that service Pleasant Prairie. Radium is a naturally occurring element that can be found to increase the level of cancer with significant exposure. For those customers of public and private water utilities in the Lake Michigan basin, and these are east of the sub-continental divide, the problem was resolved by extending municipal water mains with treated Lake Michigan water and abandoning the utility wells that were contaminated with radium. For the residents that lived in the Des Plaines basin, which is west of the subcontinental divide, the change from radium contaminated well water to treated Lake Michigan water was far more difficult.

Obtaining Lake Michigan water for the Des Plaines River watershed meant creating a diversion out of the Great Lakes basin into the Mississippi River basin. A diversion could only be legal if the eight governors surrounding the Great Lakes agreed to the diversion. In 1990, the Village received permission from the eight governors of the Great Lake states to temporarily divert treated water from the Lake Michigan watershed and discharge it, after treatment, through Sewer Utility District D and an additional plant called 73-1 which located at the state line but only until 2010.

Over the past 20 years, the Village has been steadily diverting small amounts of water out of the Des Plaines basin and back to the Lake Michigan basin. In 2010, however, according to the terms of the temporary diversion agreement, both wastewater treatment plants, Sewer D and 73-1 in the Village, must be closed and all wastewater will be sent to the City of Kenosha treatment plant, thus ending the diversion out of the Great Lakes basin. The construction of these lift stations is one more step to comply with that 1990 agreement.

3. The facilities that are proposed then to be located within the I-1, Institutional District, wherein a lift station is allowed with approval of a conditional use permit is being presented this evening. The lift station buildings will not be located within the 100-year floodplain or within any wetlands on the properties.
4. Pursuant to the application attached as Exhibit 1:
 - a. This project will consist of removing the existing 73-1 Lift Station and replacing it with a new triplex submersible cast-in-place concrete lift station which includes a wet well, valve vault, masonry building, influent precast structure, piping, valves, controls, electrical, site grading and restoration, and related appurtenances. The project also includes the construction of a new quad submersible cast-in-place concrete lift station which will include a wet well, valve vault, masonry building, influent precast structure, piping, valves, controls, electrical, site grading and restoration and, again, related appurtenances.
 - b. This project is necessary to fulfill the Village of Pleasant Prairie's responsibilities under the 1997 Cooperative Agreement with the City of Kenosha. These lift stations along with force mains and gravity sewers being constructed by the Village will allow for the abandonment of two wastewater treatment plants and the connection to the Kenosha sewerage system.
 - c. Local, county, state and federal permits or approvals include:
 - i. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Approval of 73-1 Sanitary Sewer District Evaluation Report and Decommissioning of Sewer "D" Wastewater Treatment Plant Facilities Plan
 - ii. The Wisconsin DNR grant of Exemption to Construct on an Abandoned Landfill
 - iii. Wisconsin DNR approval of 73-1 and South Central Lift Stations
 - iv. Kenosha Water Utility Sanitary Sewer Approval and 73-1 Force Main
 - v. The Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission or SEWRPC force main construction
 - vi. Wisconsin DNR Notice of Intent
 - vii. Wisconsin DNR Pit/Dewatering permits
 - viii. Village Building, Zoning and Erosion Control Permits
 - ix. Kenosha County work in the right-of-way permit.
 - d. The building will be constructed of brick and cement board siding with matching batten strips and asphalt shingled roof and the sites will be landscaped.
5. The property owners and all of the abutting and adjacent property owners within 300 feet of the proposed lift stations were notified via U.S. Mail on January 21, 2010. Notices were published in the *Kenosha News* on January 25 and February 1, 2010.
6. The petitioner received a copy of this staff report via e-mail on February 5, 2010.

7. According to Article XVIII of the Village Zoning Ordinance, the Plan Commission shall not approve a conditional use permit unless they find after viewing the findings of fact, the application and materials and information presented at the public hearing that the project as planned will not violate the intent and purpose of all Village Ordinances and meets the minimum standards for granting of a conditional use permit. In addition, any decision that they make along with the application and any satisfactions of conditions of approval will need to comply with the Village Ordinance requirements and all applicable federal, State or local statutes, regulations and ordinances or laws relating to this project.

With that I'd like to continue the public hearing.

Tom Terwall:

Is there anybody wishing to speak on this matter? Anybody wishing to speak? Anybody wishing to speak? Hearing none I'm going to open it up to comments from Commissioners and staff.

John Braig:

A question directed to Mike. Approximately how many feet of force main are involved, and does any of it front private property owners?

Mike Spence:

Mike Spence, Village of Pleasant Prairie, 8600 Green Bay Road. I don't have the exact footage of the force main. The actual force main was installed last year by the Village construction crew. The force main generally goes past uninhabited area. It goes from the south central treatment plant, or when everything is operational it will be down ML to Highway 31, and then it will go to the north, it will cross to Old Green Bay Road, and then it crosses State Highway 165 and then it will tie into the existing system there. So the residential people that the force main will cross in front of will be on Old Green Bay Road there.

John Braig:

My concern is that some people may not fully recognize or understand what a force main is and be mistaken into believing that sewer might be available at their property line if they elected to buy it. Of course, you can't connect to a force main.

Mike Spence:

That is correct.

John Braig:

I guess what I'm looking at is, is there some way that we can make sure that property owners would never develop that—

Mike Pollocoff:

We notified the residents when we put the force main up at Green Bay Road that we were putting a force main in, that it was meant solely to convey waste from the future lift station which is being considered now up to the City line. And if someone was to try to convey a home and said that sanitary sewers are available when typically the title company is going to make a research to see what the outstanding sewer assessments are or water, is sewer and water available, and we'd indicate to them at that point that it's not. So the only people that really don't find out about those is someone who is doing a straight cash deal and doesn't use the title company and doesn't do any research on their own.

John Braig:

Thank you.

Michael Serpe:

Just a question for Mike. The talk around the Milwaukee County, the western counties around Milwaukee about the diversion, are those counties under the same restrictions that Pleasant Prairie is under as far as diverting the water back to Lake Michigan if they get the diversion, if they're granted?

Mike Pollocoff:

Right. We'd all be under the—we were under it because in a strange sense the way we had our permit we just permitted not to return it for a period of 20 years. But now everybody is under the same rules. In fact, the Village is under those rules, and we're going through the implementation of those rules now where we have to justify the amount of sanitary sewer capacity we have that's going to be bringing water. Even though it was water that came out of Lake Michigan and is going back, under the new rules that also constitutes a diversion. So you have to be able to measure and evaluate what your consumptive loss is. It's a little bit more strenuous test than existed before, but they're dealing with the same things we are. We're lucky in a sense that we're a lot closer to the divide than, say, Waukesha is.

Wayne Koessl:

Well, Mr. Chairman, I don't know if there's any more questions. If there aren't I would move approval of the conditional use permit and site and operational plans.

Tom Terwall:

Is there a second?

Don Hackbarth:

Second.

Wayne Koessl:

And if I may add, Mike, it's been a long time coming. Twenty years is gone already.

Tom Terwall:

IT'S BEEN MOVED BY WAYNE KOESSL AND SECONDED BY DON HACKBARTH THEN TO APPROVE SITE AND OPERATIONAL PLAN AND THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR THE NEW TWO LIFT STATIONS SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

Don Hackbarth:

No problem with Asian Carp, right?

John Braig:

Yet.

B. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT to amend Section 420-89 B (11) of the Village Zoning Ordinance related to building size regulations associated with commercial communication structures.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, on December 14, 2009, the Village Plan Commission adopted Resolution #09-09 to have the Village staff evaluate and initiate a zoning text amendment related to commercial communication structure building size regulations. So we actually have a zoning text amendment presented to you this evening to amend Section 420-89B(11) of the Village Zoning Ordinance related to building size regulations that are associated with commercial communication structures.

The proposed text amendment this evening, a portion of Article XIV entitled Communication Structures, specifically 420-89B(11) pertaining to standards for commercial communication structures of the Village Zoning Ordinance sets forth in part that, "Any building associated with a commercial communication structure shall not exceed 600 square feet, and if more than one building is proposed, the total area of all such buildings shall not exceed 1,200 square feet,..."

The current Zoning Ordinance requirements indicate that any building associated with a communication structure shall not exceed that 600 square feet. Typically, what happens is we have one cellular tower and we have an equipment building or shelter or structure associated with each tower. And what we are trying to do is not to proliferate the total number of all of these structures. So as you will recall back in I think it was the last month or two, we approved a project conditioned upon a potential zoning text amendment that would allow for a much larger structure to exist out at Prairie Springs Park where we have one monopole with multiple carriers instead of having multiple structures and having these large separations spacings between.

So specifically the amendment language this evening that's being presented really gets away with the square footage of these structures but does set a minimum of five feet from property lines and a separation distance of at least ten feet between the buildings. This is a matter for public hearing.

Tom Terwall:

Is there anybody wishing to speak on this matter? Anybody wishing to speak? Anybody wishing to speak? Hearing none, I'm going to open it to comments from Commissioners and staff. Jean, I have a question. Would WLIP qualify as a commercial communications facility under this ordinance?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Right, just the tower, correct.

Tom Terwall:

Oh, okay. The station itself is not a commercial communications building?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Right.

Tom Terwall:

Thank you.

Don Hackbarth:

Could you go back to that picture. Approximately what size is that, do you know? It looks like it's about ten feet wide and twenty feet long?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Twelve by twenty.

John Braig:

Is that for a single carrier?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Yes, and this is the one that's down 104th Street, Tom?

Don Hackbarth:

Yeah, that's pretty small for multiple carriers if you're going to put them in.

Tom Terwall:

Do you envision that if we had two or more carriers sharing that building that there will be a dividing wall between each carrier or will they share common space?

Mike Pollocoff:

I think the plan was that there would be, as I remember, some kind of dividing wall between the two of them. There would be an access door so they would have separate access.

Tom Terwall:

So you'd still be able to secure your area.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

For security purposes.

John Braig:

Has staff had discussions with the carriers or contractors regarding this proposal?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Yes.

John Braig:

Good enough. Another comment on this. Each facility will need separate electrical metering, obviously one service, right, Wayne, but separate metering facilities. I think this is fantastic. We should have done it long ago. With that I move approval.

Judy Juliana:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

MOVED BY JOHN BRAIG AND SECONDED BY JUDY JULIANA TO SEND A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD TO APPROVE THE ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

C. Consider the request of C-94 Partnership for the approval of a Certified Survey Map to subdivide the property generally located at the southeast corner of CTH C and the newly constructed 120th Avenue (East Frontage Road) into two properties.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, this is the request of C-94 Partnership for the approval of a certified survey map, and this is to subdivide the property generally located at the southeast corner of County Trunk Highway C and the newly constructed 120th Avenue or the East Frontage Road, into two properties.

As you can see on the referenced certified survey map on the screen and in your packets, Lot 1 is approximately 82.7 acres with 1,200 feet of frontage on 120th Avenue. It was donated to the Village of Pleasant Prairie at the end of 2009. This lot is primarily wetlands, floodplain and primary environmental corridor or open space area. The two existing billboards that exist on the property will be removed and the area restored by the lease holder, Lamar Signs, by July 1, 2010. That's when their leases expire.

Lot 2 is approximately 2.1 acres with over 1,000 feet of frontage on 120th Avenue, the East Frontage Road, and approximately 107 feet of frontage on County Highway C. This lot will be retained by the petitioner for future commercial development when the parcel is combined with the land to the east pursuant to the Village's Comprehensive Plan and the Pleasant Farm Neighborhood Plan. This lot is unbuildable until such time as it's combined with the property to the east as noted on the CSM, and sewer and water infrastructure are extended to service the property.

The Village staff recommends approval of the CSM subject to the CSM being executed by the parties and recorded at the Register of Deeds office within 30 days.

Tom Terwall:

Comments or questions?

Don Hackbarth:

Mov approval.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

IT'S BEEN MOVED BY DON HACKBARTH AND SECONDED BY MICHAEL SERPE TO SEND A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO THE VILLAGE BOARD TO APPROVE THE CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OUTLINED IN THE STAFF MEMORANDUM. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

D. Consider Plan Commission Resolution #10-01 to initiate amendments to the Village Land Use Plan Map 9.9 and the Village Zoning Map related to a wetland staking being completed.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, Resolution #10-01 is a resolution to initiate a Comprehensive Plan amendment and a zoning map amendment. The Plan Commission may initiate any petition for the amendment of the Zoning Ordinance which could include rezoning of property, change in zoning district boundaries or changes in the text of the ordinance. On May 27, 2008, the Village received an application from Dan Johnson, owner, for a wetland staking to be completed on his vacant property located on the west side of Lakeshore Drive in the Village of Pleasant Prairie. The property is further identified as Tax Parcel Number 93-4-123-203-0184. It's also known as Lot 12 of Block 34 in Carol Beach Estates Unit #4 Subdivision.

The Village received a letter dated December 8, 2009 from SEWRPC which indicated that the plat of survey correctly surveyed and identified the wetlands on the property as they were field staked on September 9, 2008. In accordance with the Village's 2035 Comprehensive Plan, upon the completion of a wetland staking, the 2035 Land Use Plan map 9.9 shall be amended to reflect the aforementioned wetland staking.

Also, in accordance with our Zoning Ordinance C-1, Lowland Resource Conservancy District requirements in effect, the Plan Commission shall also initiate the appropriate action to change the Zoning Map to conform to the wetlands delineated.

The Plan Commission action this evening is to initiate and petition to amend the 2035 Land Use Plan Map and the official Zoning Map as it relates to the wetland staking. The Plan Commission is not by this resolution making any determination regarding the merits of the proposed changes this evening, but you are, in fact, initiating the process by which both the plan map and the zoning map can be promptly evaluated and updated as necessary. The staff recommends approval as presented.

Michael Serpe:

Move approval of Resolution 10-01.

Jim Bandura:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

IT'S BEEN MOVED BY MICHAEL SERPE AND SECONDED BY JIM BANDURA TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 10-01. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

E. Consider Plan Commission Resolution #10-02 to initiate amendments to the Village Zoning Ordinance related to wind and solar regulations.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, Resolution 10-02 is a resolution to initiate a zoning text amendment. The Plan Commission may initiate a petition for the amendment of the zoning districts as well as the Zoning Ordinance or the rezoning of property. Specially, this resolution is requesting to amend a change or modification to the Zoning Ordinance which pertains to wind energy conversion systems. And there are certain districts of the current ordinance that we do need to evaluate, and those include the Agricultural Districts, the I-1, PR-2, PR-3 and C-2 Districts.

The Village has been receiving requests for wind energy conversion systems or windmills in residential districts, and so the staff is proposing at this time to begin the evaluation of both solar and wind energy conversion systems and their regulations in the Village Zoning Ordinance. We

are recommending of approval of Resolution 10-02. The Plan Commission initiating and petitioning to re-evaluate these systems does not mean that the Plan Commission is taking any action on these items this evening. However, we are initiating the process for the staff to prepare such ordinances or evaluations and bring them back to the Plan Commission in the future for a public hearing to consider. Staff recommends approval as presented.

Wayne Koessl:

Mr. Chairman, I'd move approval of Resolution 10-02.

Michael Serpe:

I'll second it.

John Braig:

Probably more of a comment. There is a statute on the books in Madison which prohibits the construction of any facility on any property that would shade or interfere with a solar energy recovery device on an adjoining property. I think it might be well that you have a copy of it or be well aware of it so that the two would agree and maybe as a service to the community, if people are looking for building permits, they be aware of that statute in Madison, too. With that, question.

Don Hackbarth:

Maybe, Wayne, you can answer this. The difference between solar and windmill, what kind of generation of electrical energy is produced by each or is there a big difference?

Wayne Koessl:

It all depends on the size of the wind generator you put up and the solar panels. They're both very expensive. But I think the Village is taking the right path in looking at it and putting an ordinance out. Because as the wind farms are going up around the State even adjacent neighbors are complaining about them. I think we should be ahead of the curve and know what we're going to do.

Don Hackbarth:

I don't know if you've ever, I should say ever, when you go up Highway 45 they've got that whole string that almost goes out of site.

Tom Terwall:

It started out with two of them.

Don Hackbarth:

I don't know how many years ago, five years ago and there wasn't much there. You go up there now and it's almost endless.

Wayne Koessler:

You have to realize there is some noise to a wind generator.

Don Hackbarth:

There is. But I just want to say, to put two cents in here, they don't really look too pretty.

Wayne Koessler:

Well, it's being mandated by the State that so much of the energy has to come from the green power, even though it's the most expensive energy you want to produce.

Andrea Rode:

Tom, I want to make a comment. They had on the news tonight just before I came over there are some of those windmills or wind energy devices up in Minnesota, and they've been waiting for them to start working, but the problem is they didn't take into effect that they're frozen and that the gel stuff inside or whatever can't stand the cold. It's too cold so they're just sitting there. They showed a picture of the ice just hanging over and hanging off of them.

Wayne Koessler:

A comment on that. They were made by a firm in California and they forgot that the weather is a lot colder in Minnesota than California. I read that article and they're all frozen.

Tom Terwall:

NO FURTHER COMMENTS, THERE'S A MOTION BY WAYNE KOESSL AND A SECOND BY MICHAEL SERPE TO APPROVE. ALL IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

F. Consider Plan Commission Resolution #10-03 to initiate amendments to the Village Zoning Ordinance related to creating new Official Zoning Maps based on undated cadastral information.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Plan Commission, Resolution 10-03 is a resolution to initiate a zoning text amendment. The Plan Commission may initiate the petition for a zoning text amendment. The official maps of the Village of Pleasant Prairie are proposed to be reprinted to include the most current cadastral information and all of the Village zoning map amendments adopted by the Village Board since September 6, 2006. In preparing new zoning maps for the Village, Section 420-12 of the Village Zoning Ordinance entitled Zoning Maps will need to be amended to reflect these revised maps.

So the Village Plan Commission this evening is hereby initiating and petitioning to amend the Village Zoning Ordinance as it relates to official zoning maps and other changes to the zoning maps and text that may be required. These proposed changes are hereby being referred to the staff, and the Plan Commission is not by this resolution making any determination regarding the merits of the proposed changes in the maps and the text but is only initiating the process by which the proposed changes of the maps and the text can be promptly evaluated. With that, the staff recommends approval of Resolution 10-03.

John Braig:

So moved.

Judy Juliana:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

IT'S BEEN MOVED BY JOHN BRAIG AND SECONDED BY JUDY JULIANA TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 10-03. AND BEFORE I TAKE A VOTE, REVEREND HACKBARTH.

Don Hackbarth:

I don't want to be a pain here but what is cadastral?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Property boundary maps.

Don Hackbarth:

Okay, thank you.

Tom Terwall:

All in favor signify by saying aye.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed? So ordered.

7. ADJOURN.

John Braig:

So moved.

Judy Juliana:

Second.

Tom Terwall:

All in favor say aye.

Voices:

Aye.

Tom Terwall:

Opposed?

Meeting Adjourned: 6:30 p.m.